The Proposed “No Action” Remedy for the presented plan of the operable Unit 1 section of the Navassa Superfund site is not acceptable from my perspective. My reasoning is: that the areas that are represented in Figure 2 of your plan as presented October of 2019 as areas 1A, 1B, 2, and the boundary area incorporating 21.6 acres of land will not be suitable for all public uses.

The Goal, as I understand it, is to select “remedies” that are protective of human health and the environment. The selected remedy should maintain protection over time and minimize untreated waste. A NO ACTION REMEDY is not the answer for “soils that exceed the unrestricted use standard” as defined by the North Carolina general statues and used as a description of the operable U1 soil. I don’t understand how “No Action” will protect human health and the environment. The Land needs to be cleaned up to the highest standard possible.

Secondly, why are there 2 separate plans for protection. (1) No Action (EPA) and (2) institutional controls (DEQ)....is that double protection or different philosophies......whatever...it surely is confusing.

Present or Current Governmental Boards are required to not Impede future Boards or government from being able to carry out their duties. It is imperative that present or current Boards be careful today when making decisions that have major impacts on tomorrow and beyond. My example of this is Institutional Controls verses Cleanup of Operable Unit 1 to Residential standards.

OU1 should be cleaned up so that the Town of Navassa has as many options as possible regarding future land use, including residential.

Mayor Eulis A Willis